

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10 AT TACOMA

11 MICHAEL ANTHONY ABELS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 HAROLD CLARKE, *et al.*,

15 Defendants.

Case No. C07-5303 RBL/KLS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO COMPEL AND FOR  
SANCTIONS

16 Before the Court is Plaintiff Michael Anthony Abels' motion to compel discovery and for  
17 sanctions. Dkt. # 109. Plaintiff requests that the Defendants "respond to initial disclosures," produce  
18 documents and that they be sanctioned for failing to comply with the Court's discovery deadline. *Id.*  
19 Defendants oppose the motion. Dkt. # 110. Having considered the motion, response, Plaintiff's reply  
20 (Dkt. # 114), and balance of the record, the Court finds that Plaintiff's motion should be denied.

21 **I. DISCUSSION**

22 **A. Rule 26(a) Disclosures**

23 Mr. Abels seeks to compel Defendants' participation in "initial disclosures." Dkt. # 109.  
24 However, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(B)(iv) specifically exempts initial disclosures in "action[s]  
25 brought without an attorney by a person in the custody of the United States, a state, or a state  
26 subdivision." As Mr. Abels is currently in the custody of the state of Washington and is appearing  
27

1 without counsel in this matter, his motion for Rule 26(a) disclosures is, therefore, not proper.

2 **B. Production of Documents**

3 Mr. Abels also seeks to compel the production of documents. Dkt. # 109, p. 1. However, he  
4 has not identified the documents or discovery requests that he wishes to have compelled from the  
5 Defendants. In addition, Mr. Abels has not certified to the Court that he has met and conferred with  
6 defense counsel prior to bringing this motion. Mr. Abels was previously advised of this obligation.  
7 Dkt. # 105. Plaintiff is again advised that he must confer with Defendants' counsel and that the  
8 Court will not entertain any discovery motions unless the parties have first attempted to resolve  
9 their discovery disputes. Any discovery motion brought before this Court "must include a  
10 certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the person or  
11 party failing to make the discovery in an effort to secure the information or material without court  
12 intervention." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(2)(B).

13 **C. Depositions**

14 This Court previously advised the parties that they are to cooperate in the scheduling of  
15 depositions. Dkt. # 105. The parties are encouraged to continue their efforts to reach an agreement  
16 to complete the depositions, by telephone or in person. If additional time is necessary to complete  
17 the depositions, the Court will entertain a request for an extension of the discovery deadline.

18 **D. Sanctions**


19 Mr. Abels also requests sanctions. Rule 37 sanctions are appropriate only upon the granting  
20 of a properly filed motion to compel (with a certification that the movant has in good faith  
21 conferred or attempted to confer in an effort to obtain the discovery without court action), and the  
22 opposing party's nondisclosure was substantially unjustified. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(A). None of  
23 these circumstances exist here.

24 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

25 (1) Plaintiff's motion to compel (Dkt. # 109) is **DENIED**.

1 (2) The Clerk of the Court shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and to counsel for  
2 Defendants.

3  
4 DATED this 5th day of February, 2009.

5  
6   
7 Karen L. Strombom  
8 United States Magistrate Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27